2

3

4

5

б

Ī

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

7.5

20

21

22

23 24

25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

DATA RESEARCH CORP. -

et. al., - CIVIL NO. 02-1253 (JAG)

Plaintiffs - C/W 02-1625(JAG)

Vs.

CÉSAR REY HERNÁNDEZ,

et. al., -

Defendants -

Vs.

SILA CALDERON,

Defendants -

Deposition of:

CÉSAR REY HERNÁNDEZ

Taken on Monday October 6th, 2003, at the offices of John Neváres & Associates, located at 1225 Ponce de León Avenue, VIG Building, 15th Floor, Santurce, Puerto Rico.

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

EXHIBIT

2

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Plaintiffs
3	CAMILO SALAS, ESQ.
4	John Neváres & Associates
5	1225 Ponce de León Avenue
6	VIG Building, 15 th Floor
7	Santurce, Puerto Rico 00918
8	
9	For the Defendants
10	A.J. BENNAZAR, ESQ.
11	Bufete Bennazar
12	Union Plaza Bldg., PH A
13	416 Ponce de León Avenue
14	Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918
L5	
L6	ANDRÉS RAMÍREZ MARCANO, ESQ.
L7	Reichard & Escalera
18	Bank Trust Plaza, 10 th Floor
١9	255 Ponce de León Avenue
0.0	Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00917
21	
22	JUDITH TORRES DE JESÚS, ESQ.
23	Landrón & Vera
24	804 Ponce de León Avenue,
25	Suite 503, Miramar, P.R.
26	
27	Notary Public: JUDITH TORRES DE JESUS, ESQ.
28	Court Reporter: María Malavé
9	Court Interpreter: Lauren García
10	(AT WHICH TIME THE COURT REPORTER, THE INTERPRETER AND THE

DEPONENT ARE DULY SWORN IN BY MS. JUDITH TORRES DE JESUS) Whereupon,

CÉSAR REY HERNÁNDEZ

After having been duly sworn in, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- Q Dr. Rey, good afternoon.
- A Good afternoon.
- Q My name is Camilo Salas and I represent the plaintiffs in a lawsuit that has been filed in the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico on behalf of DRC Corporation and others against yourself and other members of the current administration of Puerto Rico.

I want to ask you some questions. If you don't understand any of the questions that I ask you in English, let me know and we have a translator here, and then she can translate for you.

- A That's fine.
- Q I understand you were in fact testifying in Court yesterday, so you have experience giving testimonies.
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you please state your name and your address for the record?
- A César Rey Hernández, and I'm a resident of Hato Rey.

0 Dr. Rey, by whom are you employed? 1 The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. 2 Α 0 In what capacity? 3 Α Secretary of Education. 4 How long have you held that job? 5 0 Two years and approximately eight months. Α 6 Let me ask you a little bit about your background. 7 Q I know you have a Ph.D. 8 Α That's right. 9 10 In what area? Sociology, from the National Autonomist University Α 11 "Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México". of Mexico. 12 Now, what is the extent of your knowledge about 0 13 computers? Is it like mine, very little? 14 Probably. I just turn on the computer and I know 15 how to get in and surf around cyber space, and that's about 16 it, really. I am not a "techy" by any means. 17 Let me get right down to the bottom of this matter 18 since it's late in the day. You wrote a letter on January 19 23rd, 2002, addressed to Santos Díaz, President of DRC 20 Corporation, is that correct? 21 That's correct. Α 22 And by that letter, you intended to cancel certain 23 contracts between DRC and the Department of Education? 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

That is correct, Counsel.

Α

25

Q Let me just show you the letter, make sure you have reviewed it recently.

We want to mark a copy of that letter as Rey, Exhibit number 1.

(AT WHICH TIME DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1 IS MARKED)
BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

Q Prior to, in the process of writing that letter, did you consult with anybody?

A Yes, this is basically about a year after I was holding the position of Secretary. And evidently, that process is the culmination of evaluations of some statements of the Federal Government, specifically USAC, and product of some field evaluations by the experts, meaning of the personnel who was advising me in the technical area together with the E-Rate Project.

And undoubtedly, a product of all the findings that we received when we arrived at the Department, regarding everything dealing with technology.

Undoubtedly, after a notice from USAC in December, we evaluated the situation, and as a result of that evaluation we took the action defined in that letter.

Q Who made the decision to terminate the contracts?

A I received recommendations from three people, those are Anibal, Adonay, and José Santana. Those are the three persons who advised me and recommended it to me.

So, are you saying that Adonay Ramírez recommended 1 0 to you that you terminate the contracts with DRC? Well, the specific recommendation to terminate the 3 contract is from Dr. Anibal Cruz. 4 5 So, Adonay Ramírez did not make a recommendation to terminate the contract? 6 Α Adonay Ramírez is part of the group that evaluates the whole process, just as Professor José Santana. 8 So, José Santana just did an evaluation for you? 9 José Santana is part of the discussion of the 10 evaluation process that is made within the Department. 11 Alright, but José Santana never gave you a specific Q 12 recommendation to cancel the contract? 13 Α I don't remember that. I do know that they discuss 14 it among themselves, they decide among themselves to make 15 some recommendations. 16 And the notification from USAC that you referred to 17 is the letter from Mr. McDonald dated December of 2001? 18 December, as I recall, December 2001, that's right. Α 19 And have you reviewed Mr. McDonald's letter 0 20 recently? 21 I've read it a couple of times, yes. 22 Do you recall the things that Mr. McDonald was 0 23 complaining about? 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

Not in details.

Α

25

Q I'm going to show you Mr. McDonald's letter which is dated what, December 5^{th} , 2001?

A That's right.

- Q Addressed to you?
- A That's right.
- Q Why don't you review it and tell me what are the four or five things that Mr. McDonald was complaining about?

A I believe, the fact that already by '98, January 1st of '98 there had already been some commitment for money, specifically 46.2 million dollars.

Specifically, in essence what is being brought up is the performance, the lack of agreement with the performance. There is, of course, a concern of USAC regarding some information they have received from the Department of Education.

It is demanded that within 30 days we take some action and prove it, have evidence of it. And obviously, as a result of an audit, there is not evidence of adequate performance.

This letter from our point of view, of course, when the decision is taken, is a letter that in a way validates what we had detected from the beginning of the school year, in terms of the deficiency in the connections, the bad inter relationship within the systems that exist, the wireless with the other one relying on the phone, and obviously, the

1 dissatisfaction existing with the mounting of the whole 2 process, which to our surprise endangered the funds received and could even include the return of money from that fund. 3 Something for which for obvious reasons in the country was onerous and a budget that was already in deficit. 5 Why don't you hand your copy, if you don't mind, so, I'll ask a couple of specific questions. 7 8 9

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Which document are we, still on the December 5th letter or we are going to move?

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Yes, of course, no, no.

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Okay, the December 5th letter. BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- 0 In the second page of that letter.
- Ά Yeah.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- About at the beginning of the bottom of page 1, ---Q
- "As you know"? Α
- Yes, "As you know PR DOE was selected for the Q beneficiary review during the summer of 2000, to be conducted by Arthur Anderson, LLP on behalf of Universal Service Administrative Company, the final report on the beneficiary review of PR DOE concluded as follows, and then I'll let you read what they have quoted there. Why don't you read it out loud so we have that for the record.

"Anderson noted that there were no desktop Sure. computers in any of the classrooms", this is at E-Rate

schools, "consequently due to the lack of classroom computers we ascertain that the PR DOE was not able as of the date of our site visit to fully meet the educational objectives and training requirements for which E-Rate funding had been provided."

Q Then skipping one paragraph, then it continues, it says "USAC is very concerned regarding this finding."

A That's right.

Q "Not only did we permit 46.2 million to PR DOE for the funding year 1, we also permitted 56.9 million for funding year 2, (July 1, 1999 through June 30th, 2002) and 55.6 million for funding year 3, (July 1, 2003 through June 30th, 2001). "PR DOE has funded in a year for request of in over 40 million pending with SLD."

Now, it goes in another paragraph: "In light of USAC's concerns, PR DOE must provide additional information concerning it's ability to use school and library support mechanisms funded before USAC will commit any additional funding to PR DOE, and before USAC can decide whether we should seek recovery of some of the funds that have been dispersed, we must determine whether the PR DOE has been meeting its responsibility to make effective use of the services for which it has been providing discounts".

And then they'll give you, they requested that you provide certain information within 30 days, true?

A That's correct.

Q And then the last paragraph of that letter says "Further more, given this audit finding, we are concerned that you may not have the other necessary resources referenced above."

"If there are no computers, we are concerned that you may not have secure access to sufficient software or that you may not have trained your teachers".

"We are also concerned that you may have not secure access to sufficient maintenance or that you may not have upgraded your electrical connections in this and other schools".

"Therefore, please also provide specific and detailed information about your investments in productivity and curriculum software, your progress in delivering professional development to insure that educators know how to use this new technology to improve education, your resources to maintain computers and peripherals, and your evaluation of, and any necessary upgrades to electrical systems in your schools." True?

A That's right.

Q Now, maybe I didn't read it the way you read it, but as I understood this letter, USAC was concerned that 1, no computers had been provided to the schools, is that not right?

That's the way I understand it, correct. Α 1 2 0 That was one of the concerns, right? 3 Α One concern, correct. 0 Another concern was that, perhaps, the adequate 5 software had not been provided to the schools, true? Α Correct. 6 Another concern was that, perhaps, the teachers had 7 not been trained on how to operate the computers and 8 Internet, so that they could teach the students how to do it? Α That is correct. 10 And another area was that there may not be 0 11 maintenance of the computers and the systems? 12 Α Correct. 13 Did you get a chance to review the Anderson report 14 itself that spoke about these findings? 15 At that time we judged some of the findings. 16 What I want to know is where in the letter of Mr. 17 McDonald does it say, as you read it anyway, that there was 18 anything wrong that had been done by the contractors such as 19 DRC? 20 My point is that the expertise, the experience that Α 21 comes from decision making. What do I mean by this? 22 picture, the picture I get about the way the system has been 23 working, is the picture of the dysfunctional way that 24

everything had been dealt with.

25

What does that mean? That because of a large amount of equipment placed in a large number of schools, obviously very expensive, but the connectivity as I remember during the first few months of my being a Secretary was totally inoperative.

There were not even a dozen schools, not even one dozen schools that could connect with that system to the Internet.

The dissatisfaction and lack of knowledge of the teachers about what had happened, was very eloquent, Counsel.

As a matter of fact, we made a survey with the teachers about the knowledge and control of the System as it would help the Education System, and how to apply that knowledge on the day by day use of their teaching knowledge.

And it definitely corroborated what we had been doing our first year of service, deciding and seeing on our investigation.

As a matter of fact, it was a very sad situation which after the trust that had been placed on us, and the expense, that the ineffectiveness of the program was so eloquent between teachers and students, and workers in the system. Situation which has led us to permanently guarantee USAC all the efforts we are doing to reconstruct, first the trustworthy image of what has been done in our country, and the trust in the part of the community that the investment in

technology has some utility.

Q I suppose that by January of 2002, you had learned something about the E-Rate funds?

A Well, yes, I was advised about the E-Rate, the function, the conditions that existed, even in the United States, regarding these funds.

As a matter of fact, on the second day when I got here, the very first big hurdle I faced was to prepare to submit that request, just two days after I assumed my responsibilities. I think I had 15 to 18 days to comply with the deadline.

- Q That was to submit the request for funds?
- A That was to submit the request, if I recall.
- Q What I wanted to find out is, did you learn, prior to January of 2002, that when requests for funds had been made to USAC, the Department of Education had represented that it had the funds to provide such things as computers, software, teacher training, electricity for the schools, and maintenance for the computers?

A I don't know to what instance you are referring to, Counsel? You said knowledge or that I had told USAC about this? Perhaps I don't understand the question.

Q You are aware of the fact that in order to request funds, there were two forms, one of them that was needed to be made and to be submitted was the 470 and 471, true?

Α 1 That's right. Did you know that in submitting the 470, the 2 0 request for discounts, there was a certification made there 3 that the Department of Education had sufficient funds to provide five other things that were needed? 5 Α 6 That was training, maintenance and... 7 Q Right, to be able to have a network and access to the Internet, which were those five things that I mentioned 8 before? Α I suppose that the way it was submitted, there must 10 have been a way of analyzing that, some measures. 11 Q You said that you came into the job and the first 12 thing that you had to do was submit a 470, right? 13 Α That's right. 14 Right, and you signed that in---15 Q Α Two weeks, yeah. 16 You signed that yourself, true? 17 O MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: I just want to clarify for the 18 record that I believe it's a 471. 19 MR. CAMILO SALAS: 471, alright, I apologize. 20 BY MR. CAMILO SALAS: 21 22

And you signed that form yourself? Q

Α Correct.

23

24

25

- Somebody had filled it out for you? Q
- That was worked by the equipment that we had for Α

that including Professor José Santana as part of the equipment. Even people who had worked on that before.

Q And you would have signed that form based on their advice?

A Exactly, after evaluating what was analyzed by them, Counsel.

Q Did you know that by signing that form, you were in fact certifying to the Federal Government that the Department of Education of Puerto Rico had sufficient funds to provide all those five other items that were needed as a complement to the, whatever the Federal Government was going to provide on the E-Rate funds?

A I have no doubt and it is important to know that in that year which was my first year, besides growing and the equipment, the team, what we wanted to was to strengthen what were obviously the deficiencies in the connectivity.

Q Did you know that the first year that those funds had been requested from the Federal Government, a similar certification had been made by whom was then the Secretary of Education?

A I suppose that its request must have had some guarantee, of course.

Q Did you know that E-Rate funds could only be used for Internet services, pure Internet services, but not for any hardware, computers, electrical connections, teacher

training, software, or things of that nature?

б

A The details of the technical aspects, I obviously do not have the information, Counsel. The spirit of E-Rate is obviously to support obtaining of knowledge on part of the students.

Q Were you told by any of your advisors, or did you learn on your own by reading or otherwise, that the regulations that governed the use of E-Rate funds, specifically prohibit the use of those funds to do things such as electrical connections in schools? Did you know that?

A I can not go into the details of it, but I know that regarding the spirit of E-Rate is that we made our recommendation and we worked on that, and that was the base for our request.

Q You were aware of the fact that when the Department of Education asked for E-Rate funds, the Department of Education had committed itself to providing desktop computers for the students, right?

A The beginning of all this, this all started under the prior Secretary, not by me. We continue with this and we had to make our own evaluation after this year, it was the fourth year. And we submit that petition according to the picture that we have on this matter, and together with what the law requires.

Well, the picture we have at this time, at that time.

Q When you received the December 5th, 2001 letter from Mr. McDonald, and you read the paragraph that quotes the Anderson report and says in that part the following:

"Anderson noted that there were no desktop computers in any of the classrooms visited at either school".

When you read that, did you inquire who had the responsibility of providing computers for the classrooms?

A Undoubtedly when I received that report I investigated or had investigated all the aspects on that letter in order to determine its veracity or its interpretation.

Q So, then you would have been told by your assessors that the Department of Education had an obligation to provide computers for the schools so that the computers could be used in access in the Internet?

A I would have to go back to the evaluation made and the recommendation at the time.

Q So, you have no recollection today about it?

A No, I don't keep those details. I'm in charge of an operation of close to 2.5 billion dollars, 600,000 students, and over 72,000 employees, so I do not keep all the details on memory.

Q So, what is the evaluation that we need to look at

to determine what was the assessment that was done at the time? 2 I believe the decision is taken over one year of 3 experience and recurring reports. And obviously, 4 5 dissatisfaction which was what was being evidenced as the product. And based on the law, the provisions of law, one makes one's own decision. 7 Did you believe at the time that DRC had failed to 8 0 provide computers for the schools? 9 During the evaluation that was made at the time, 10 Counsel, that was our conclusion. 11 0 So, at the time you believed that it was DRC's 12 obligation to provide computers to the schools and that it 13 had failed to do so? 14 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Objection, misrepresents the 15 witness' prior testimony. Specifically, he told you he was-16 MR. CAMILO SALAS: Wait, wait, that's enough. 17 You've made your objection. No, you've made your objection --18 MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: You are misrepresenting his 19 prior testimony. 20 MR. CAMILO SALAS: No, no. You've made the 21 objection. 22 BY MR. CAMILO SALAS: 23 (DEPONENT) The evaluation at the time was of Α 24

Compugrafía, Inc. (787) 708-1821 708-1643

dissatisfaction with DRC. And that leaves me to the

25

prerogative I took.

Q And I want to talk about the details of the evaluation of DRC and what you thought DRC was doing wrong. So, in connection with that, I want to ask you, and I think I've asked you, and I think you've given me an answer, but I'm trying to clarify. Did you believe at the time that DRC had failed to provide computers to the schools?

A No, I can not go into details of that kind,

Counsel. The dissatisfaction was the result of all kinds of

phases involving the analysis, the dissatisfaction between

students and teachers that after all this investment, there

was a dramatic uncertainty as to what technology was in the

Department of Education.

Of course, that is the whole process that then with the details of the specialists and with the provisions of law, that's how we reached a decision. At that time, of course.

Q Mr. McDonald's letter quotes the Anderson report which I read in part, and let me just finish reading it now. It says "Consequently, due to the lack of classroom computers, we ascertain that the Puerto Rico Department of Education was not able, as of the date of our site visit, to fully meet the educational objectives and training departments for which E-Rate funding had been provided".

So, it specifically says that because of the lack of

classroom computers, they believe that the Department of Education could not meet the educational objectives for the funds that they had given you, because of the lack of classroom computers.

My question to you is, as of that date, on January 23rd, 2002, who did you believe had failed to provide the computers that Mr. McDonald and the Anderson report were complaining about?

- A I have to insist on my answer, Counsel.
- Q You don't know?

A No, it's that I don't have the details. It was total dissatisfaction.

It is due to what had happened during that year, and because of the ministerial and judiciary obligations of myself, I evidently have to make a decision based on what is recommended to me by the technicians and the attorneys.

Q So, maybe this will shorten this thing. As we sit here today, you can not describe for me what were the deficiencies that at the time, back on January 23rd, 2002, you believe were attributed to DRC?

A I can talk to you about the deficiencies, Counsel, that at that time we found on the system, as I understand it, at my executive level, which I believe it's important, if you agree, to describe them. Equipment that was not connected, equipment that was, and this I saw, this was not informed to

me, that was facing the walls with the backs towards the classroom, specifically Cacique Agueybaná in Bayamón. Boxes the famous black boxes, which we saw in many schools, and I have already visited more than 750 schools. That when one arrived, the first thing asked was "When is this going to be connected?" and "What is this thing we have here good for?"

Computers that were distributed without the adequate training, observing air conditioners, computers and terminals which could not be turned on at the same time because there would be a shut down in the whole system in the whole school.

And to know that there weren't more than a dozen schools that after all that noise about investment, they could not connect to the system.

I mean, we went back to practically square one, we started from zero. And I recall seeing what was good of what we had there was.

I'll tell you more, we evidenced that connections that had been made in for structures had been eaten by rodents. There were tubes full of water, making the connection that had been made in another time, useless.

I have to talk to you about this, Counsel, as evidence that I lived, that I went through. I can not talk to you about legal aspects, but I can tell you what I saw.

Because, and it was a very painful thing to see that you had

the equipment in front of you, but you were not able to use it.

And I saw sophisticated equipment. There was equipment that was certainly sophisticated, but it was useless for the children. So, that's the reality, combined with the details, you know, makes the whole story, as I see it.

- Q Sure. Let's talk a little about that in a little more detail. Certainly without, even for somebody with the knowledge of computers that we described at the beginning of the deposition, you know, that in order to access the Internet, you need a computer, a desktop PC, true?
 - A That's right.

2.1

Q Now, there were no PC's in the schools, right?

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Objection, misrepresents the witness' prior testimony. He has never said that there were no PC's.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: I'm asking him, were there...
BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- Q Well, let me ask you another question.
- MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: You read a finding. He has never said that there were no PC's in the schools.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Okay.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

O Mr. McDonald says that the Anderson people when

they did their investigation, they said "Anderson noted that
there were no desktop computers in any of the classrooms
visited in the schools."

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Of the two classrooms they
visited.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Alright.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

Q "Consequently, due to the lack of classroom computers, we ascertain that the PR DOE was not able to fully meet their educational objectives".

Now, ---

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: In two classrooms.

MR. CAMILO SALAS: Alright.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

Q Now, you also know, do you not, that the Department of Education had tried to purchase 100,000 computers and there was a problem with the bid and things like that.

And because of those problems, the 100,000 computers that were going to be sent to the schools so that the students could access the Internet, were not in the schools, for whatever reason, true?

A True. What is serious is that the ones that were there were not connected.

Q Okay, we are going to get to those, okay. The ones that were there were not connected, that's what you are

saying? There was some computers there that were not connected, yes?

1.0

A From the ones that the team was able to observe and the fact that those that were there were not able to be connected into the Internet, which was the main reason, the reason of being of all this. And the sort of things are going to be presented by the recurring reports that the committee is going to give to me. Plus what I was able to observe myself.

Even, Counsel, in those institutions, those schools where there was Internet, because there was Internet in some schools, they came from projects that were not from the institutional funding, they were from different fundings.

For example, there were competitive projects besides E-Rate.

I went to Osuna schools, they had a great sophisticated Internet project, but it answered to another proposal. It was not because of our connectivity to the net.

Q Let's pick up then where it was. There were 100,000 computers that the Department of Education tried to purchase, had not being purchased for whatever reason, and then you said that even the computers that were available in the schools had not being connected, true?

A That's right.

Q Now, first of all, do you know if those computers that were available in those schools were or had the capacity

to be connected and used in conjunction with the other equipment that had been installed at those schools?

A From the electrical infrastructure point of view, Counsel?

Q From the technical point of view, wether or not those computers that were available had the capacity to be used for the Internet connections with the equipment that was provided under the E-Rate funds?

A My appreciation, which is all I can base myself on, the equipment with all the support should have worked adequately.

As a matter of fact, the information provided to me is that the equipment and the investment in the project which we are talking about, T1 infrastructure, was more than sophisticated for the real need of the school.

I was fighting for a whole year to get a T1 for a whole university. And when I get to the Department, I am told that each school had a T1 with the concomitant cost of that.

And of course, we have the question of what analysis is made prior to the investment of that equipment, in terms of the projection of the E-Rate project, and the vision that we have of the process.

- Q So, going back to my question.
- A I think we parted from your question, I'm sorry.

Q What I'm gathering is that you made visits to some schools and you saw that were some computers there. And that you felt that, well, even in these schools there is a couple of computers there, that there is no reason why they can't be connected to the Internet. Is that...

- A No, well, that's a superficial appreciation---
- Q I'm trying to find out exactly---

A No, no, what I'm saying is there is evidence on the part of the experts in this process who go out to see what is the truth of the picture of this project.

And obviously, a technological neophyte, as I can be, corroborates that on several situations there is an infrastructure that is not being used, that is still in boxes, that had been stored in a school like Cacique Agueybaná, gathering dust; it was a really illogical picture. It corroborates that something dysfunctional is happening in the process.

Q Let me start from the bottom then, and maybe we'll move our ways the other way, I think. For example, one of the items you mentioned earlier was that in certain schools you could not turn on the air conditioner, the computers, and the terminals because the entire school would shut down.

- A Even turn on the lights, like I said before.
- Q Alright. Let's talk about that. Let's talk about electricity. Now, do you know who had the obligation to

provide the proper electricity to the schools so that the computers could be turned on?

A The one who was in charge to take that decision at the beginning, was undoubtedly under the obligation to make sure that everything that was going to be done would be in condition to do so.

Q Did you know that the contract that you cancelled on January 23rd, 2002, specifically stated, let me quote, "The Department would be responsible for providing the adequate power supply to operate the system and equipment provided by DRC under the terms of this contract."

A Whatever was in the Contract at the time it was signed is what the compromise was.

Q My question was, when you took the action of cancelling that contract on January 23rd, 2002, did you read the Contract or did you know about any other means, or did anybody tell you that that contract that you were about to cancel specifically stated that it was the Department's obligation to provide the adequate power supply to operate the system and the equipment. Did you know that?

- A At the time I take the decision---
- O Prior to.

A ---I am being advised of all the implications of the contract and of the decision I obviously do not recall all the details.

3

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Alright. So, if one of the problems was the lack of adequate power supply, and you knew that the contract says that the Department had the obligation to provide the power supply, did you stop and think "wait a minute, we have to supply the power for these computers, let's go and do it." "Let's fix the schools, let's fix the power supplies so that we can run the schools." If that was one of the problems?

Α The thing is that the contract is not cancelled for lack of electricity. It is cancelled because of the dissatisfaction with the expected performance.

But I thought you said earlier that one of the deficiencies at the time was that you could not run the computers, and the terminals, and the air conditioner at the schools?

Α I told you that I made an inventory and a glossary of all the situations that we had found that were not being complied with.

Can I take it then that that is not one reason why you cancelled---

I just believe we are not No, no, excuse me. Α communicating. I insist that what I'm stating is a picture of the dissatisfaction within the whole system which includes multiple variants.

Can we agree that the Department of Education was responsible for providing the adequate power supply to

operate the system and equipment provided by DRC under the terms of the contract that you cancelled? Do you agree in that?

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: To the extent it seeks to elicit a legal opinion from another lawyer who is sitting here as a fact witness, the question is objected to. He told you he took the advice of Counsel to not take it upon himself to interpret the legal technicalities. Said it twice already.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

Q Aside from, I'm not asking you for a legal opinion, is it your understanding? No, I'm not. I'm asking you as the Head of the Department of Education, is it your understanding that the Department had the obligation of providing the adequate power supply to operate the system and equipment provided by DRC under the terms of the contract that you cancelled on January 23rd, 2002?

MR. A.J. BENNAZAR: Same objection.

BY MR. CAMILO SALAS:

- Q You can answer.
- A At the time I make the decision, I take the advice and the counsel and the reports of my advisors.
- Q And did they tell you that it was the Department's duty to provide the adequate power supply?
 - A I don't recall the specifics, I'm sorry.
 - Q You had lawyers providing you advice at the time?

Α The Legal Division. 1 2 O And who specifically was dealing with that? Α Who was specifically dealing with it, I don't 3 recall, but... 4 5 6 talking about. 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, let's go back then. I'll get back to that in Let's go back to the overall picture that you were

Another item that you mentioned was that the computers were distributed without training. I didn't understand what you meant by that. Was that without training, is that what you meant?

- Without the training of professional capacity. Α
- Computers are being distributed without giving the 0 teachers adequate training to operate that equipment?
 - Enough training to... Α
 - Who had the obligation to train the teachers?
- Again, I don't know the specifics of this, but the Α sensation of the people, of the students, and of the teachers, was that they were not prepared enough, sufficiently enough.

As a matter of fact, the survey, Counsel, was evidence of the dramatic deficiencies.

And there is a picture of not knowing what they were for. And I come to that decision from the fact that some were not functioning and others were being used as